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PREFACE

In the preparation of the present work an attempt has been made to separate the facts of civilisation into classes, and to gather together in each class the portraits of the few great leaders who, from the beginning of history down to the present time, have been its representatives. In one of these classes appear Homer, Dante, and Shakspeare; in another, Alexander, Caesar, and Napoleon; in another, Archimedes, Galileo, and Newton. In each class—Poetry, Art, Religion, Philosophy, History, Science, Politics, Industry—from fifteen to twenty portraits, arranged in chronological order, and each portrait accompanied by a carefully-written biography.

There is a growing belief that no interest in the world can ever equal the interest felt in human beings. However much we may become absorbed in the study of facts and theories, and in the enjoyment of works of art, we must in the end ever turn with an eye of the deepest interest to the men who have illustrated these facts, propounded these theories, and given us these works. We always want the portraits of those we admire and venerate. There is no inspiration so powerful as that derived from the frequent study of a great example.

Apart from these considerations, such a collection ought to make a fascinating and beautiful work, and also one peculiarly instructive; each volume, in fact, presents virtually a special course of history, and this in the most vivid and graphic form. Vol. I. is a history of Poetry, Vol. II. a history of Art, and so on; while the eight classes taken together offer a complete history of mankind—a picture of human progress in all its departments. This effect will be heightened by the introductions, which are intended to serve as links uniting the different biographies together.
INTRODUCTION TO VOLUME I.

The men who are the flower and glory of our race are to pass here before us, the highest manifestations, whether on this line or on that, of the force which stirs in every one of us—the chief poets, artists, religious founders, philosophers, historians, scholars, orators, warriors, statesmen, voyagers, leaders in mechanical invention and industry, who have appeared amongst mankind. And the poets are to pass first. Why? Because, of the various modes of manifestation through which the human spirit pours its force, theirs is the most adequate and happy.

The fact of this superior adequacy of poetry is very widely felt; and, whether distinctly seized or no, is the root of poetry's boundless popularity and power. The reason for the fact has again and again been made an object of inquiry. Partial explanations of it have been produced. Aristotle declared poetry to be more philosophical and of more serious worth than history, because poetry deals with generals, history with particulars. Aristotle's idea is expanded by Bacon, after his own fashion, who extols poetry as "submitting the shews of things to the desires of the mind," to the desires for "a more ample greatness, a more exact goodness, and a more absolute variety, than can be found in the nature of things." No man, however, can fully draw out the reasons why the human spirit feels itself to attain to a more adequate and satisfying expression in poetry than in any other of its modes of activity. For to draw them out fully we should have to go behind our own nature itself, and that we can none of us do. Portions of them we may seize, but not more; Aristotle and Bacon themselves have not succeeded in seizing more than portions of them. And at one time, probably, and to one set of observers, one ground of the primordial and incontestable fact before us comes clearest into light; at another, and to other observers, another.
INTRODUCTION

For us to-day, what ground of the superiority of poetry is the most evident, the most notable? Surely its solidity. Already we have seen Aristotle prefer it to history on this very ground. Poetry has, says he, a higher wisdom and a more serious worth than history. Compare poetry with other efforts of the human spirit besides history. Compare it with art. It is more intellectual than art, more interpretative. Along with the plastic representation it utters the idea, it thinks. Poetry is often called art, and poets are classed with painters and sculptors as artists. But Goethe has with profound truth insisted on the difference between them. "Poetry is held to be art," he says, "and yet it is not, as art is, mechanism, mechanical. I deny poetry to be an art. Neither is it a science. Poetry is to be called neither art nor science, but genius." Poetry is less artistic than the arts, but in closer correspondence with the intelligent nature of man, who is defined, as we know, to be "a thinking animal;" poetry thinks, and the arts do not.

But it thinks emotionally, and herein it differs from science, and is more of a stay to us. Poetry gives the idea, but it gives it touched with beauty, heightened by emotion. This is what we feel to be interpretative for us, to satisfy us—thought, but thought invested with beauty, with emotion. Science thinks, but not emotionally. It adds thought to thought, accumulates the elements of a synthesis which will never be complete until it is touched with beauty and emotion; and when it is touched with these, it has passed out of the sphere of science, it has felt the fashioning hand of the poet. So true is this, that the more the follower of science is a complete man, the more he will feel the refreshment of poetry as giving him a satisfaction which our nature is always desiring, but to which his science can never bring him. And the more an artist, on the other hand, is a complete man, the higher he will appreciate the reach and effectualness which poetry gains by being, in Goethe's words, not art but genius; by being from its very nature forbidden to limit itself to the sphere of plastic representation, by being forced to talk and to think.

Poetry, then, is more of a stay to us than art or science. It is more explicative than art, and it has the emotion which to science is wanting. But the grand sources of explication and emotion, in the popular opinion, are philosophy and religion. Philosophy—the love of wisdom—is indeed a
noble and immortal aspiration in man. But the philosophies, the con-
structions of systematic thought which have arisen in the endeavour to
satisfy this aspiration, are so perishable that to call up the memory of them
is to pass in review man's failures. We have mentioned Goethe, the poet of
that land of philosophies, Germany. What a series of philosophic systems
has Germany seen since the birth of Goethe! and what sort of a stay is any
one of them compared with the poetry of Germany's one great poet? So
necessary, indeed, and so often shown by experience, is the want of solidity
in constructions of this kind, that it argues, one may say, a dash of the
pedant in a man to approach them, except perhaps in the ardour of extreme
youth, with any confidence. And the one philosopher who has known how
to give to such constructions, not indeed solidity, but charm, is Plato, the
poet among philosophers, who produces his abstractions like the rest, but
produces them more than half in play and with a smile.

And religion? The reign of religion as morality touched with emotion
is indeed indestructible. But religion as men commonly conceive it—religion
depending on the historicalness of certain supposed facts, on the authority of
certain received traditions, on the validity of certain accredited dogmas—
how much of this religion can be deemed unalterably secure? Not a
dogma that does not threaten to dissolve, not a tradition that is not shaken,
not a fact which has its historical character free from question. Compare
the stability of Shakespeare with the stability of the Thirty-Nine Articles!
Our religion has materialised itself in the fact—the supposed fact; it has
attached its emotion to the fact. For poetry the idea is everything; the
rest is its world of illusion, of divine illusion; it attaches its emotion to
the idea, the idea is the fact. The strongest part of our religion to-day is
its unconscious poetry. The future of poetry is immense, because in
conscious poetry, where it is worthy of its high destinies, our race, as time
goes on, will find an ever surer and surer stay.
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